Some MACRA and Payment Reform Basics

Robert Berenson M.D. Institute Fellow, Urban Institute 24th Princeton Conference May 25, 2017

The Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA)

"Stabilizes" fee updates

- Repeals the SGR, averting a 25% cut in fees, with a schedule of fixed, annual updates
- July 2015-2019: annual fee update 0.5%, 2020-2025 0%
 - Payment increases (and decreases) take place through the MIPS (Merit-based incentive payment system)
- Before 2025, 5 percent bonuses and exemption from MIPS for physicians who qualify as participating in AAPMs (advanced alternative payment models)
- After 2025, 0.25% annual update; 0.75% if in an AAPM
- These fixed, stable fee updates will likely produce an increasing gap between practice costs and revenues (given fairly flat service use in recent years)

The Merit-based Incentive Payment System

- Combines the 3 current incentive programs:
 - Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) quality
 - Value-Based Modifier (VBM) quality & resource use
 - Meaningful Use (EHR), which CMS relabeled as Advancing Care Information
- And adds a fourth, into a combined 4-part MIPS program
 - Clinical Practice Improvement Activities
- Applies to payments after January 1, 2019 the current programs are in use till then. Note that the increased financial impacts are delayed compared to prior law
- Excludes physicians:
 - In their first year
 - With < 100 Medicare beneficiaries
 - With < \$30,000 in Medicare allowed charges (was \$10,000 in proposed rule)</p>
 - The result is that almost 400,000 physicians are not initially subject to MIPS penalties (and bonuses)

MIPS assessment categories (percentages when fully phased in in 2022)

- Quality -- 30%
- Resource Use -- 30%
- Advancing Care Information -- 25%
- Clinical Practice Improvement Activities --15%
 - Such as expanding practice areas, population management, care coordination, beneficiary engagement, patient safety

 For year 1, 2019, (with data collection starting 2017), CMS will not include any resource use rather than the 10% called for in statute, so instead will increase the quality component to 60%

MIPS payment adjustments

- Negative adjustments capped
 - Those at 0-25% of threshold get maximum negative adjustment
 - 2019: 4%
 - 2020: 5%
 - 2021: 7%
 - 2022: 9%
- Positive adjustments
 - Maximum: 3 X annual cap for the negative adjustment so theoretically as much as 27% more if >25% above performance threshold
 - But total extra is funded at \$500 million/ year going forward
 - The negative adjustments + the \$500 million fund the bonuses providers have to decide whether they are better off in MIPS or AAPMs – the prospect of as much as 27% upside is quite enticing. BUT

CMS/LAN APM Framework



Category 1 Fee for Service – No Link to Quality & Value



Category 2 Fee for Service – Link to Quality & Value

Foundational Payments for Infrastructure & Operations

Δ

B Pay for Reporting

C Rewards for Performance

D

Rewards and Penalties for Performance



Category 3 APMs Built on Fee-for-Service Architecture

A APMs with Upside Gainsharing

В

APMs with Upside Gainsharing/Downside Risk



Category 4 Population-Based Payment

A Condition-Specific Population-Based Payment

B

Comprehensive Population-Based Payment

HHS Jan 26, 2015 Announcement of Goals and Timeline for Value Payments

- 30% of traditional Medicare payments *tied to* value thru APMs (categories 3,4) by the end of 2016, and 50% by 2018
- 85% tied to value (categories 2-4) by 2016 and 90% by 2018
- Note that these assessments of value-based payment do not reflect the percentage of spending related to value, just whether any part of the payment approach has performance measurement and incentives for reducing spending -- even upside only

A few observations about the CMS/LAN Framework

- Emphasizes theoretical incentives in payment methods, mostly ignoring the design and operational issues that determine whether payment models work as intended
- Assumes that value derives only from 1) use of quality measures and 2) "non-nominal" risk-bearing
- In short, the LAN Framework that classified 28 distinct payment models is useful for presenting a logical taxonomy based on structural features (measures and risk) but errs in implying that value follows the same continuum
- Any payment method can be designed to produce more or less value – and that includes classic fee-for-service, in this case, the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule